

Ministerial Decision

<b>Decision Reference:</b> MD-PE-2017-0017		<b>Application Number:</b> P/2016/0870 <i>(If applicable)</i>	
<b>Decision Summary Title (File Name):</b>	Public Inquiry Decision P/2016/0870 (New Les Quennevais School)	<b>Date of Decision Summary:</b>	21 February 2017
<b>Decision Summary Author:</b>	Principal Planner	<b>Decision Summary: Public or Exempt?</b> <i>(State clauses from Code of Practice booklet)</i>	Public
<b>Type of Report: Oral or Written?</b>	Written	<b>Person Giving Oral Report:</b>	n/a
<b>Written Report Title (File Name):</b>	Report to Minister for Planning and Environment	<b>Date of Written Report:</b>	15 February 2017
<b>Written Report Author:</b>	Graham Self MA MSc(Eng) FRTPI	<b>Written Report : Public or Exempt?</b> <i>(State clauses from Code of Practice booklet)</i>	Public
<b>Subject:</b>			
Decision following Public Inquiry under Article 12 of the Planning and Building (Jersey) Law 2002 (as amended) for planning application reference P/2019/0870.			
<b>Decision(s):</b>			
<p>The Minister REFUSED to grant permission under Article 12 of the Planning and Building (Jersey) Law 2002 (as amended) for planning application reference P/2019/0870 in respect of the following development:</p> <p>Construct secondary school with associated external facilities, parking, landscaping and sports field. 3D Model Available. AMENDED ADDRESS. ADDITIONAL PLANS energy centre drawings AMENDED PLANS revised vehicular exit, widening of La Rue Carree.</p> <p>At Field No. 80, 84, 85, 86, 86A, 87, 87A, 88 &amp; 88A, La Rue Carree, St. Brelade, Jersey,</p>			
<b>Reason(s) for Decision:</b>			
<p>The Minister agreed with the recommendation of the Inspector as detailed within his Report of 15 February 2017 and refused the planning application. The reasons for refusal are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The design of the proposed building would not be satisfactory. In particular the building shapes, finishing materials and colours would be unsatisfactorily discordant, would not reflect or complement the style and traditions of local buildings and would conflict with Policies GD1(6) and GD7 of the Island Plan.</li> <li>2. The proposed provision for the movement of vehicles and pedestrians within the site and for on-site parking would be inadequate and likely to create safety hazards contrary to the requirements of Policy</li> </ol>			

GD1(5b, c and d) of the Island Plan. In addition the proposed northern exit would undesirably and unnecessarily increase the impact of the proposed development on the area's rural character, contrary to Policy SP4, GD1(2c and 6) and GD7 of the Island Plan.

**Resource Implications:**

None

**Action required:**

Notify Agent, Applicant and other interested parties

**Signature:**

**Position:**

Minister for the Environment

**Date Signed:**

23/2/17

**Date of Decision (If different from Date Signed):**